

To: Members of the Rocky Flats Stewardship Council
From: LeRoy Moore
Re.: Rocky Flats Downwinders
Date: September 12, 2016

In the packet for today's Stewardship Council meeting Executive Director David Abelson's Report criticizes the Rocky Flats Downwinders for saying on their web site that "no health studies had ever been done around Rocky Flats." He says they are wrong, because the State Health Department "had conducted an in-depth dose reconstruction study."

David repeats what the State Health Department often says. But the dose reconstruction study was not a health study. No one's health was studied. The sole purpose of the study was to reconstruct the doses people may have received from toxins released from Rocky Flats. Plutonium was the primary contaminant. Perhaps because any cancer that can be caused by plutonium can also be caused by other toxins, the study concluded that there was no justification for an epidemiological study. An epidemiological study itself is not a health study but is a statistical analysis of the effect of exposure to some toxin present in a given area. The dose reconstruction study rejected even this statistical analysis. It did not even consider monitoring the health of people in contaminated areas, though the latter was recommended by Ed Martell, University of Colorado Medical School nurses and epidemiologist Richard Clapp of Boston University. The Downwinders, who were correct in their original statement, are now looking to see if there is justification for such monitoring.

To: Members of the Rocky Flats Stewardship Council
From: LeRoy Moore
Re.: Rocky Flats Downwinders
Date: September 12, 2016

In the packet for today's Stewardship Council meeting Executive Director David Abelson's Report criticizes the Rocky Flats Downwinders for saying on their web site that "no health studies had ever been done around Rocky Flats." He says they are wrong, because the State Health Department "had conducted an in-depth dose reconstruction study."

David repeats what the State Health Department often says. But the dose reconstruction study was not a health study. No one's health was studied. The sole purpose of the study was to reconstruct the doses people may have received from toxins released from Rocky Flats. Plutonium was the primary contaminant. Perhaps because any cancer that can be caused by plutonium can also be caused by other toxins, the study concluded that there was no justification for an epidemiological study. An epidemiological study itself is also not a health study but is a statistical analysis of the effect of exposure to some toxin present in a given area. The dose reconstruction study rejected even this statistical analysis. It did not even consider monitoring the health of people in contaminated areas, though the latter was recommended by Ed Martell, University of Colorado Medical School nurses and epidemiologist Richard Clapp of

Boston University. The Downwinders, who were correct in their original statement, are now looking to see if there is justification for such monitoring.