

Rocky Flats Stewardship Council

Monday, May 5, 2008

8:30 – 11:45 AM

**Rocky Mountain Metropolitan Airport Terminal Building
11755 Airport Way, Broomfield, Colorado**

Board members in attendance: Lisa Morzel (Director, Boulder), Matt Jones (Alternate, Boulder), Meagan Davis (Alternate, Boulder County), Lori Cox (Director, Broomfield), Mike Bartleson (Alternate, Broomfield), Jacob Smith (Director, Golden), Kate Newman (Alternate, Jefferson County), Shari Paiz (Director, Northglenn), Shelley Stanley (Alternate, Northglenn), David Allen (Alternate, Northglenn), Andrew Muckle (Director, Superior), Matt Magley (Alternate, Superior), Bob Briggs (Director, Westminster), Ron Hellbusch (Alternate, Westminster), Jeannette Hillery (Director, League of Women Voters), Sue Vaughan (Alternate, League of Women Voters), Kim Grant (Director, Rocky Flats Cold War Museum), Roman Kohler (Rocky Flats Homesteaders), Karen Imbierowicz (Director).

Stewardship Council staff members and consultants in attendance: David Abelson (Executive Director), Rik Getty (Technical Program Manager), Barb Vander Wall (Seter & Vander Wall, P.C.), Erin Rogers (consultant).

Attendees: Bob Nelson (citizen), Hillary Merritt (The Trust for Public Land), John Dalton (EPA), Vera Moritz (EPA), Carl Spreng (CDPHE), Jeanette Alberg (U.S. Senator Allard), Zane Kessler (U.S. Senator Salazar), Dan Miller (Colorado Attorney General's office), Steve Berendzen (USFWS), David Bird (DNR/DRMS), Jeremiah McLaughlin (Stoller), John Boylan (Stoller), Jody Nelson (Stoller), Rick DiSalvo (Stoller), George Squibb (Stoller), Shirley Garcia (Broomfield), Heather Cronenberg (Westminster), Cathy Shugarts (Westminster), Scott Surovchak (DOE-LM), Charles McKay (Church Ranch/JCMD), Jeffrey Schultz (citizen), Laura Schultz (citizen), Dean DeSantis (citizen), Eric Barnes (auditor, Wagner, Burke & Barnes), Jennifer Bohn (RFSC accountant).

Convene/Agenda Review

Vice Chair Jeannette Hillery convened the meeting at 8:35 a.m. There were no changes to the agenda.

Business Items

Prior to approving the February 4th meeting minutes, a correction was noted on page two. The correct officers for 2008 are Lorraine Anderson as Chair, Jeannette Hillery as Vice Chair, and Lori Cox as Secretary/Treasurer. There was also a typo above that which will be corrected. There were no changes for the April 7 minutes. Karen Imbierowicz moved to approve the February and April meeting minutes and the checks. The motion was seconded by Roman Kohler. The motion passed 11-0. (Arvada was not present)

Executive Director's Report

David noted some changes to the membership of the Stewardship Council. Since Bob Nelson is no longer an elected official for the City of Golden, he is not able to serve as a member of the Stewardship Council. David introduced Jacob Smith, the Mayor of Golden as a new member. Also, Nanette Neelan moved on to new position with Commerce City, so will no longer be with the Stewardship Council.

Local government funding contributions to the Stewardship Council have been received. One is still being processed.

David addressed the issue of pesticide spraying at Rocky Flats, and the desired notification of local governments. There has been no resolution as of yet, but there are some ongoing communications among the three involved cities (Broomfield, Northglenn and Westminster). They are looking into what standard governmental communications and protocols should be used. David will keep the group updated on any progress with these talks.

In mid-April, the Stewardship Council received a letter from Senator Salazar and Representative Perlmutter inviting the Stewardship Council to a May 29th meeting to discuss their Beyond the Fences proposal. The issues Salazar and Perlmutter identify in their letter directly touch upon Stewardship Council topics, so David encouraged the Stewardship Council members to discuss these issues within their own organizations prior to the meeting in order to give Salazar and Perlmutter direction. Salazar and Perlmutter want to create a forum made up of the surrounding governments which focuses on partnering on open space acquisition and preservation. Ideally, they would love to use the forum of the Stewardship Council. However, they first wish to discuss whether the governments are interested in working on Beyond the Fences issues. If they do, then the proper forum will be identified.

David noted that the Stewardship Council has some latitude for working on this issue, but there will come a point where the discussion moves beyond scope of Stewardship Council's IGA and LSO status. David Allen added that the first Beyond the Fences discussion was focused on Forest Service lands, and asked if this would bridge the gap. David said it may, partly. He recommends holding off a little bit on this question. These issues may be beyond the scope of the LSO. In David's conversations with representatives from Salazar and Perlmutter, a few options have come up. The Stewardship Council's IGA must be re-approved before February 2009, based on a triennial review requirement. The group does have the option to expand the scope of the IGA. Alternatively, if the Stewardship Council wishes to discuss these issues as the Rocky Flats LSO while using federal funding, the legislation must be amended. Both of these ideas are captured in the recent letter from Salazar and Perlmutter. David would like the group to discuss these options at the May 29 meeting. If federal LSO funding is not used, then alternative sources must be addressed. David added that most of the increased staff burden will fall on the local governments, not as much on Stewardship Council staff.

Public Comment

There was none.

Receive Stewardship Council 2007 Financial Audit

Eric Barnes briefed on the results of its financial audit of the Stewardship Council's 2007 finances. No material problems were found, and the auditors determined that the Stewardship Council was in compliance with all applicable laws and regulations. He walked the group through the various sections of the report, such as the auditor's findings, balance sheet, liabilities, revenue and budget. Everything was found to be in proper order.

Lori Cox moved to accept the 2007 audit findings. The motion was seconded by Roman Kohler. The motion passed 11-0. (Arvada was not present)

David Abelson noted that the Stewardship Council has furniture and office equipment that has been stored at no cost for the past couple of years. He is currently working with Jennifer and Barb to look at options for either selling or donating these pieces since there is no longer a need for the Stewardship Council to maintain ownership of these items.

Discuss DOE Documents

The Stewardship Council has expressed a strong interest in preserving Rocky Flats-related documents that were once housed in the Public Reading Room at the Front Range Community College Library. Because personal information was found in one or more documents, DOE has decided to destroy the entire collection, after making digital copies of some portion of the documents. David Abelson said that it his impression that DOE believes this issue is being pushed by Stewardship Council staff, and that the Board does not see this issue as very important. Jeanette Alberg interjected that Senator Allard is very aware of this issue and is also looking into it. David said Congressmen Udall and Perlmutter also support preservation of these documents. David sent a letter in April to DOE-HQ asking for an explanation of what is driving their position to destroy the documents. Mike Owen said in February that he believed there was a way to resolve this issue agreeably. David believes this as well. Many of the documents in this collection are not DOE documents, but are nevertheless in their possession and are subject to destruction. Gary Morell, the Front Range Library librarian who previously managed this collection for DOE, told DOE in a letter that it would be possible to narrow down the scope on any documents that may contain personal information. Another issue that was raised by Udall and Perlmutter was the need to maintain availability of these documents for workers trying to file health claims.

Scott Surovchak said no decisions have been made. He stated that if there is any suspected personally identifiable information (PII) in the collection, DOE is prohibited from releasing them. He added that redacting the documents would be very expensive. He said that DOE has found PII in the Administrative Record (AR) here and at other sites. Jeannette Hillery asked if the Stewardship Council can communicate with the decision- maker involved in this process. Scott said that person is John Montgomery with DOE. Lori Cox asked why these documents cannot be released now, since were stored at Front Range Community College for years. Scott said that most of the documents are copies of the AR, in which DOE has since found PII. Bob Darr noted that, although Reading Rooms have been around a long time, things have changed regarding misuse of personal information such as Social Security numbers. PII has a very broad

legal definition and, to follow the laws and the way they are enforced now, DOE cannot release these documents. Bob added that when DOE first found PII in these materials, they shut down reading rooms. There are 460 boxes of documents from Front Range. Jeanette Alberg said she visited with Montgomery to discuss this issue. One of things that makes any redaction or sorting more difficult is that the contents of the boxes are not in any kind of order.

David Allen expressed his frustration that this group was told that the documents were in transit to CU, only to find out they are now slated to be destroyed. He said many of the documents will not contain personal information. He added that, because this collection is of such importance, this group or others could offer funding or volunteers. Scott Surovchak said the decision is ultimately based on available funding. David Allen said that can be worked around. Kim Grant said he shares in the frustration. While he does not know how many documents potentially contain PII, the policy to destroy the lot seems very short-sighted. He said former plant workers do not remember using PII routinely in their work at the site. Kim reminded DOE that the CU library employs professional archivers, and that they also would be breaking the law to share PII. He concluded by saying that it seems like there is a solution to this whole issue. Jeannette Hillery said that the CU archive staff is willing to sit down with DOE to discuss security and protocol methods. Lisa Morzel said she agreed with everything that had been said. She added that library staff can scan for PII on the spot, too – as they do for other ‘protected’ collections. She noted that library science students may also be available to work on this collection. Lori Cox said she agreed with previous two speakers. She said the problems are not insurmountable, and that it is not just David Abelson supporting this course of action. She added that Broomfield would do their part to make sure these records stay local and stay intact.

David Abelson said that Front Range had all of these documents catalogued, so they are not just loose papers in the boxes. There is a place to store everything until any issues are resolved. The CU archives have funding to pay for dealing with PII. David added that DOE has not provided many specifics about which documents may contain PII. He referenced one example of shipping records for Envirocare, but has not heard of many others. Scott Surovchak stated that, as long as everyone agreed that legal liability was resolved, he would be eager to move forward with alternate arrangements. David noted that this was a positive opening. Roman Kohler, a former site worker, shared his experience that very seldom did workers use SSNs in their normal work documents. Jeanette Alberg said that her conversations with DOE have been productive, and reflected a willingness to look at options. She said Senator Allard has inquired as to whether he could acquire the documents and send them to CU (even though his own archives will be in Denver Public Library), or look at whether they can be included in other archives that already exist at CU. Laura Shultz, a former worker from 1987-2005 said they started using SSNs in 1995. Rik Getty said he worked at the site from 1983-2003, and that it was only when Kaiser-Hill took over the management contract that they started using SSN’s, but still not that often. Jeannette Hillery said she was looking for a motion stating that the Stewardship Council does support preservation of these documents, and recommending that a smaller group work with all parties to find solutions.

Kim Grant moved to send the letter to DOE’s Mike Owen. The motion was seconded by Lisa Morzel. The motion passed 11-0. (Arvada was not present)

There was a question of whether the Stewardship Council needed to provide any additional direction in order to proceed on this issue. Karen Imbierowicz said that the last paragraph of the letter is clear on what this group would like to do with the documents. David Abelson suggested that since the letter lays out a clear policy, the group should charge him with working with the Executive Committee to move this forward. Lori Cox said that Broomfield would prefer to direct staff to work with the Executive Committee also. Sue Vaughan asked about including the idea for a follow-up group in the letter that will be sent. Barb Vander Wall said she would not recommend taking this step. While the Stewardship Council can help work out some of the issues, it cannot make any statements about liability to DOE, so it would be best to leave this out of the letter. Jeannette Hillery said David will work with Executive Committee, and asked Board members to email them with any input. Lisa Morzel suggested having each Board Member sign the letter as sign of unanimity; however the group decided that the logistics would be too difficult.

Review and Approve Briefing Materials for Newly Elected Officials

The Stewardship Council identified a need to prepare briefing materials for newly-elected officials. The Board reviewed first drafts of the documents at the October 2007 meeting, and later reviewed these drafts via email. No changes were proposed to these revised drafts. Since Stewardship Council staff often receives inquiries about offsite lands, this topic was added to the list of titles. In preparing the drafts, staff is has tried to avoid making any references to what is or is not ‘safe’, and is instead attempting to frame everything as objectively as possible. All other work has been guided by the Board’s direction from last fall.

Roman Kohler said that these papers were very well done. Sue Vaughan added that the League of Women Voters in Jefferson County recently prepared an update on Rocky Flats and these were very helpful to them. Andrew Muckle also said they were very good and suggested putting timeline in more of a narrative format. David Abelson said that the Energy Communities Alliance put together a piece entitled “*The Politics of Cleanup*” and that the briefing materials can link to this report. He will also review this report again in case it can provide additional information. David Allen also thought the briefing materials were done very well, and he liked the format. He pointed out that whenever the reports make reference to ‘safe for humans’, staff should add ‘and the environment’. He added that they will be good to have for website. Barb Vander Wall suggested that they also add the date each was created. David Abelson noted that these documents and others will be made much more visual on website.

Lori Cox moved to approve the briefing materials. The motion was seconded by Roman Kohler. The motion passed 11-0. (Arvada was not present)

Continue Discussing Use of NRD Funds

During this discussion, the Board planned to start identifying its priorities for use of the NRD funds. The Board and those organizations that have proposed projects will also start to identify additional funds that can be used to support their proposed project(s), and identify additional information needed to evaluate these proposals.

David Abelson began by referring back to a question posed by David Allen at the last meeting about the specific role of Stewardship Council in this process. There has been some clarification on this point since the last meeting. David explained that the Natural Resource Trustees will put out a Request for Proposals and serve as the grantor. The actual proposals will come from local governments and other groups. The Stewardship Council will not be submitting a proposal, but has the ability to endorse proposals as it sees fit.

Dan Miller noted that the next step for the Trustees is to put out the RFP. The grants will be administered by CDPHE. The RFP will be approximately 20-25 pages. So far, all of the proposals have been very conceptual projects, but the Trustees will need quite a bit more detail. Dan said the Trustees see this as an iterative process, which will progress through these conceptual plans, to preliminary plans, and then final proposals. Once the RFP is released, he envisions a back and forth dialogue between the Trustees and the project proponents, in an effort to help them modify proposals in order to score better. If the Stewardship Council does endorse a particular project(s), the Trustees will take the organization's support into account as part of their evaluation.

Lisa Morzel said that it was good to have this clarification. She hopes that the Stewardship Council continues to work as cohesive group and is able to avoid any fragmentation. She said she would be in favor of rolling all of the ideas into one proposal so the local governments will not be competing with each other. She also noted that, usually when a local government acquires open space, they try to keep it as quiet as possible prior to the acquisition. If there is much publicity, it has the potential of affecting the price and negotiations. Jeannette Hillery asked when the RFP will go out. Dan Miller said they are shooting for a May release. David Allen noted that the Stewardship Council has many of the key players at the table, providing for a head start on collaboration. He asked who will be eligible to submit proposals. Dan said that the Trustees have not specified an area for projects, but that they have a preference for geographic closeness. He expects a 30-60 day time period for submittal of conceptual plans. Karen Imbierowicz asked if the Stewardship Council will have the opportunity to weigh in at all three phases. Dan said they will. Meagan Davis said that Boulder County has already met with the City of Boulder to discuss prioritization and possibly scaling back on their original proposals. She added that they are looking to work more on this. Westminster has also had discussions along these lines. Lori Cox noted that this is a very different scenario than what understood before, yet she is still looking forward to working together with the other governments and groups.

David Abelson said he is still looking for the Board to develop a guiding vision for using this funding. They will need a basis for evaluating the various proposals. Matt Jones noted that everyone mentioned Section 16 in their initial proposals, but he is having a hard time understanding how much this land is worth, even a ballpark estimate. He said that, until this information is clear, no one can really decide how much they may want to contribute. Kim Grant said he also thinks Section 16 is the most difficult to consider, because of the lack of information available to prioritize it alongside other projects. Jeannette Hillery said the group may need to wait for the RFP to get additional information. In the meantime, the municipalities could try to start coordinating other projects. Andrew Muckle asked if there is a point person

who is going to explore a non-purchase lease agreement on Section 16. Dan Miller responded that the Attorney General's office can help do some of the research about issues, obstacles and challenges regarding this parcel. He added that the Trustees finally have their project contractors on board (Stratus Consulting). Dan said they could host a workshop at an appropriate point in the process in order to provide and explain in more detail about what they are looking for in these proposals.

David suggested arranging a meeting with Stratus immediately before the Beyond the Fences meeting on May 29. He also recommended not limiting this vision to the use of NRD funds, but looking at the bigger picture and what makes sense for the area as a whole. Lisa Morzel added that she does not see the NRD projects as the end of the vision. She noted that this level of thinking and planning is exciting, and hopes to keep it moving. Dan Miller said he is not sure if a May 29 meeting with Stratus would work, but he will check. Jeannette Hillery encouraged the various Stewardship Council members to keep their discussions going. Matt Jones asked about criteria for the proposals. Dan said that so far they are pretty general. However, there are very detailed technical issues that go into measuring the benefits of the proposals. He added that any meeting with Stratus to discuss these issues could last at least a half of a day. Kim Grant suggested perhaps spending an hour on the 29th going through the RFP if it is available by then. Dan said he was not sure it will be available.

Host DOE Annual Meeting

Representatives from Stoller at Rocky Flats were present to brief the Stewardship Council on site activities for calendar year 2007. DOE has posted the report on their website. Activities included surface water monitoring, groundwater monitoring, ecological monitoring, and site operations.

Rick DiSalvo began by providing a recap of the second Rocky Flats CERCLA Five-Year Review, which was completed in September 2007. The final Report is available on the Rocky Flats website. Based on this review, the remedy remains protective of human health and the environment. The Review was approved by EPA, and concurred by CDPHE. This Five-Year Review only addressed the Central OU (COU). A Notice of Partial Deletion for the Peripheral Operable Unit (POU) and OU3 was issued May 25, 2007, and most POU land was transferred to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service in July 2007.

Surface Water

George Squibb next provided an update on Surface-Water Monitoring and Operations for the year. The monitoring network was about the same as the previous year and included 23 surface water sampling locations, 100 groundwater wells, and 10 treatment system locations. During 2007, they collected 201 samples including 130 composites comprised of 7,074 grabs. The terminal ponds A-4 and B-5 were each discharged twice during the year due to snowmelt. Water quality at all Points of Compliance and all Points of Evaluation, except GS10, were below applicable standards. Reportable values for total uranium at GS10 were likely caused by groundwater contributions of naturally occurring uranium to South Walnut Creek.

Surface-water quality results supporting both the Original and Present Landfills indicate the remedies are functioning properly. Although values were high for some metals, additional sampling was conducted for required time periods, and these areas reverted back to a regular sampling schedule after the values decreased. George said the site is not anticipating any pond discharges this year based on current precipitation levels. Shelley Stanley asked if the site filters their surface water samples. She also asked how high the nitrates levels were. George said that nitrate levels decreased from 6 mg/l to 3 mg/l at Pond A4.

Groundwater

John Boylan presented information about Groundwater Monitoring at the site for both the 4th Quarter and then for the calendar year. Rocky Flats Legacy Management Agreement (RFLMA) 4th-quarter monitoring included Area of Concern (AOC), Sentinel, and RCRA wells, as well as Groundwater treatment systems. All locations were sampled as scheduled and the analytical results were consistent with previous data. Sentinel well 45605 (at the 901 hillside slump) was abandoned following sampling. Non-RFLMA sampling was conducted at both the Mound Site Plume Treatment System and the East Trenches Plume Treatment System to determine whether a change to upflow configuration had affected treatment efficiency. No significant effect was observed, so the systems were returned to downflow. Finally, samples at downgradient Solar Ponds Plume Treatment System locations (Discharge Gallery and GS13) were collected to support Colorado Water Quality Control Commission discussions.

Data showed that contaminant plumes in 2007 were consistent with conditions in 2006. Groundwater flow is as predicted prior to closure, potentiometric surface and flow conditions are very similar to pre-closure, and the post-closure hydrologic regime is equilibrating

Contaminant plumes are remaining consistent with previous years. The Groundwater Treatment Systems continued to treat intercepted groundwater and remove contaminants. No major maintenance was performed on the systems during 2007, although several studies and evaluations were completed. The site is in the process of evaluating the path forward for the Solar Ponds Treatment System (i.e. long-term maintenance costs, downgradient water quality).

During 2007, the site also updated several groundwater models, and confirmed that groundwater flow is consistent with pre-closure assumptions. They also evaluated the no-purge sampling method, and decided not to use it at Rocky Flats based on their results.

At the Present Landfill, conditions in 2007 were similar to 2006, with fewer metals exhibiting statistically higher downgradient concentrations and no volatile organic compounds detected downgradient. At the Original Landfill, conditions in 2007 were also similar to 2006, with fewer metals exhibiting statistically higher downgradient concentrations.

CO Water Quality Commission

Rick DiSalvo provided an update on Colorado Water Quality Control Commission (CWQCC) proceedings. Rocky Flats submitted a petition to adopt the statewide basic uranium (U) standard (~20 pCi/L). A hearing is scheduled for January 2009. This petition would eliminate site-specific standards of 10 pCi/L on Walnut Creek and 11 pCi/L on Woman Creek. A hearing was

held in December 2007 to review Rocky Flats' expiring Temporary Modifications. No changes were made.

An Issues Scoping Hearing for the Triennial Review of the South Platte River Basin was held in October 2007. Issues identified included Rocky Flats temporary modifications expiring on 12/31/09; a new statewide basic standard for arsenic (10 ug/L) which is below the Rocky Flats site specific standard (50 ug/L); whether CDPHE will adopt new EPA method for copper or other metals; the Uranium petition is already scheduled for rulemaking. An Issues Formulation Hearing will take place in November 2008 with a Rulemaking Hearing to follow in June 2009.

David Abelson asked why the site is pushing for the January 2009 uranium review and not simply waiting for the June 2009 Triennial Review. Rick said that DOE sees this as ripe for a stand-alone issue, and that they do not want to complicate the Triennial Review.

Ecology

Jody Nelson reviewed the 2007 Ecological activities at the site. Project support was provided for the Roads III Project; Functional Channel 1/B371 Excavation/Fill Project; 991 Slump Repair; Annual Dam Mowing and Riprap Spraying Project; Solar Ponds Potholing Project; and COU fence construction. Ecological monitoring activities included OLF and PLF monthly vegetation surveys; monthly weed surveys in the mitigation wetlands; revegetation monitoring; weed monitoring/mapping; Preble's mouse mitigation monitoring; and wetland mitigation monitoring.

DOE also treated much of the land for weeds outside of the COU fence, because USFWS did not have funding to do this work. Jody said there are hundreds of before and after photos in the report CD. Bob Briggs asked which herbicides were used. Jody said they used a lot of Milestone, which is more environmentally-friendly, and can be used close to the water's edge. They occasionally use other herbicides as well. Shelley Stanley asked how many acres were sprayed. Jody said it was about 850 total acres, including 450 acres of USFWS land.

Site Operations

Jeremiah McLaughlin updated the group on Site Operations during 2007. At the PLF, 11 inspections were performed in CY 2007. The inspection frequency was changed from monthly to quarterly in November 2007 according to revisions in the PLF Monitoring and Maintenance Plan. No significant concerns were identified. 15 PLF settlement monuments were installed on the landfill cover in August 2006. Quarterly surveys were completed in March, June, August and December. Movement was within calculated settlement data per the M&M Plan.

At the OLF, 12 inspections were performed in CY 2007. Seven settlement monuments were installed. Quarterly surveys were completed in June, August, and December 2007. Movement was also within calculated settlement data per the M&M Plan. Seeps #4, #7, and #8 showed areas of active groundwater seepage throughout most of the year. Smaller seeps were only present temporarily after precipitation events. The Berm #1 Slump was documented February 7, repaired on April 12 and May 7, and final repairs were completed on August 2.

Also at the OLF, a Geotechnical Investigation Project was designed to evaluate mechanisms for movement and/or settlement of the landfill cover. Phase 1 (geophysical survey) was completed

on December 6. This involved investigating locations of waste beneath cover with seismic and resistivity equipment. Phase II Test Pits and Boreholes will occur in 2008, and the project will conclude with a Stability Analysis Report and recommendations. Twenty consolidation monitors were installed at the OLF in September 2007. These monitors will evaluate movement in Berm #1 slump area and will be surveyed monthly.

At a slump south of former Building 991, a regrade project was completed in November 2007. Excess material was hauled to the 903 Pad for revegetation efforts. Thirteen consolidation monitors were installed to assess future movement. Other Site Operations projects included regrading near former B371 to improve drainage; construction of the COU fence and installation of required signs and fence flags; various road upgrades throughout the year; and site access and security.

George Squibb added that the site is planning to breach six dams in September of this year. Plans were originated by Kaiser Hill during closure, and are now being re-worked. Lisa Morzel asked if they are prepared for floods. George said they are. They have many plans in place, and they are reviewed every year. Shelley Stanley asked if there will be any water backed up after the dam breach. George said it will be minimal. The goal is to get the wetlands established and back to original conditions. Sue Vaughan asked about the status of air monitoring. It will be discontinued in September, and they are storing, but not analyzing, samples until then.

David Abelson pointed out that Rik and others do review the entire Annual Report in order to go into higher level of detail on the site activities. He also noted that Rik is currently planning a site tour, which will most likely take place in June. He will share details as they become available.

Public comment

Laura Schultz (former employee) stated that she is concerned about the fate of the documents from the Front Range Reading Room. Jeff Shultz, Laura's husband, added that she (Laura) has kidney cancer, and he shows signs of berylliosis. They both have claims in process, and are having a difficult time accessing records. They expected to be treated fairly; however, it is looking like workers will need to move more toward litigation. The historical documents are increasingly important. He said that former workers would volunteer to go through the documents for CU to check for PII, as they are already trained to handle classified documents.

Lisa Morzel recommended that Laura and Jeff put these comments in writing to their Congressional representatives.

Updates/Big Picture Review

David Abelson noted that, upon talking with Dan Miller, if a meeting with Stratus does take place, the Trustees will convene the meeting to avoid the need for Stewardship Council meeting notice requirements. Also, David will be in Washington, D.C. in a couple weeks for another project. The Stewardship Council has decided to cover his costs for one extra day so that he will have an opportunity to meet with DOE about the document archive issue.

Kim Grant handed out copies of an article about efforts to commemorate Cold War history, a summary of the Cold War Museum's recent oral history presentation, and preservation efforts at the Nevada Test site.

Upcoming meetings

August 4, 2008

Potential Briefing Items

- Host LM quarterly public meeting
- Continue discussing use of NRD funds
- DOE Petition to change site Uranium standard (possibly)

October 6, 2008 (special meeting, needed for budget process)

Potential Business Items

- Initial review of 2009 budget
- Stewardship Council Triennial IGA Review (desire to continue, changes in scope)

Potential Briefing Items

- Continue discussing use of NRD funds
- Annual review of Stewardship Council activities
- Begin discussing 2009 work plan
- Begin discussing interpretive signage for Rocky Flats.

November 3, 2008

Potential Briefing Items

- Host LM quarterly public meeting
- Continue discussing use of NRD funds

Potential Business Items

- Budget Hearings for 2009 budget
- Approve 2009 Work Plan
- Stewardship Council Triennial IGA Review

The meeting was adjourned at 11:40 a.m.

Respectfully submitted by Erin Rogers.