

Monday, November 2, 2009, 8:30 – 11:30 AM
Rocky Mountain Metropolitan Airport, Terminal Building
11755 Airport Way, Broomfield, Colorado

Board members in attendance: Lorraine Anderson (Director, Arvada), Clark Johnson (Alternate, Arvada), Lisa Morzel (Director, City of Boulder), Meagan Davis (Alternate, Boulder County), Lori Cox (Director, Broomfield), David Allen (Alternate, Broomfield), Bill Fisher (Director, Golden), Faye Griffin (Director, Jefferson County), Kate Newman (Alternate, Jefferson County), Sheri Paiz (Director, Northglenn), Shelley Stanley (Alternate, Northglenn), Matt Magley (Alternate, Superior), Bob Briggs (Director, Westminster), Ron Hellbusch (Alternate, Westminster), Jeannette Hillery (Director, League of Women Voters), Sue Vaughan (Alternate, League of Women Voters), Shirley Garcia (Director, Rocky Flats Cold War Museum), Roman Kohler (Director, Rocky Flats Homesteaders).

Stewardship Council staff members and consultants in attendance: David Abelson (Executive Director), Rik Getty (Technical Program Manager), Barb Vander Wall (Seter & Vander Wall, P.C.), Erin Rogers (consultant).

Attendees: Vera Moritz (EPA), Carl Spreng (CDPHE), Scott Surovchak (DOE-LM), Rick DiSalvo (Stoller), George Squibb (Stoller), John Boylan (Stoller), Linda Kaiser (Stoller), Steve Berendzen (USFWS), Hildegard Hix (citizen), Mary Harlow (citizen), Ann Lockhart (RFCWM), Jennifer Bohn (RFSC accountant).

Convene/Agenda Review

Chair Jeannette Hillery convened the meeting at 8:35 a.m. There were no changes to the agenda.

Business Items

The first business item was the consent agenda. Lori Cox moved to approve the September Board meeting minutes. The motion was seconded Lorraine Anderson. The motion passed 10-0.

Bob Briggs asked why there was a check to Qwest for less than \$2.00. David Abelson explained that, in order to reduce costs, some changes were made to phone and fax services. This was just a small leftover amount. Lori Cox moved to approve the Board's checks. The motion was seconded Lorraine Anderson. The motion passed 10-0.

Executive Director's Report

David Abelson began by announcing that this would be Lorraine Anderson's last meeting with the Stewardship Council as she was term-limited on Arvada City Council.

He noted that October was a busy month of travel on behalf of the Stewardship Council. David travelled to Washington, D.C. and met with Dave Geiser, the Acting Director of DOE's Office of Legacy Management. He asked if there were any updates regarding plans to fill the open leadership positions in the Office, and was told that there were not. David told the Board that, in

terms of issues affecting Rocky Flats, this situation does not pose any problems, as the current team is doing a great job. A second topic discussed on this trip was the status of continued funding for the Stewardship Council. David reported that Mr. Geiser very much understands the importance of this organization, and will get back to us with more information at the end of the month. David said he learned at a different meeting that the Stewardship Council will be receiving a \$120-130K budget allocation, although he is not sure about the timeframe. He said it may be sooner rather than later. Through these discussions, it was made clear that there will be a dialogue in 2010 regarding this group's structure and role to make sure these responsibilities continue to make sense as we move forward.

While in D.C, David also met with representatives from the Energy Communities Alliance and various Congressional offices. Although there should not be a need for them to weigh in, all of Colorado's Congressional offices stand ready to support any Stewardship Council funding requests. They are also very interested in looking at funding for the Rocky Flats National Wildlife Refuge. David noted that the site is entering a time period where it makes sense to look at funding. Over the next few months, the parties will start a dialogue. Representative Perlmutter is definitely interested in pursuing Refuge issues. David met with Perlmutter's a new staff person assigned to these issues, and provided information about Rocky Flats.

David also attended an inter-governmental, multi-organization meeting. He said that although these meetings were quite valuable in the past, he did not find it as useful this year. Only Environmental Management topics were addressed. He said DOE-LM might start scheduling its own meetings. David also reported that ECA did endorse the Charlie Wolff Act and will work to support its passage. ECA is also sponsoring David on a trip to Los Alamos to meet with local official and discuss the Rocky Flats Coalition/Stewardship models.

David mentioned that the Stewardship Council quarterly financial reports were distributed in mid-October, and to let him know if there are questions.

Lori Cox asked about status of the preservation of Rocky Flats records. David said that there are two categories of documents to be considered. The official Administrative Record (AR) is required by CERCLA to be kept in a government repository. The Rocky Flats AR will be kept at a facility soon opening in Morgantown, WV. These documents will also be accessible online. Other records, which are not associated with the AR, are currently located at the Federal Center in Lakewood, pending ongoing litigation. Lori asked where the records that had been in the Front Range Community College library collection are now. David said they are at the Federal Center. Once they are no longer needed for the litigation, there will there be an opportunity for this group and others to weigh in on whether and how to save them for the future. David added that perhaps the Rocky Flats Cold War Museum may want to take ownership of some of these records.

Public Comment

None

Approve Fiscal Year 2010 Work Plan

The Board reviewed the draft 2010 work plan at the September meeting. A revised version was distributed for review in the packet for this meeting.

Lorraine Anderson brought up the topic of legislation that has been introduced in Congress regarding 'energy parks'. She talked to Rep. Perlmutter, and tried to make sure Rocky Flats was included. Lorraine added that it would be wise for this group to support and encourage this legislation to be broad enough to include communities surrounding cleaned up sites. She said that DOE-EM has initiated an effort to develop legislation defining various types of end uses, such as research sites and energy focused activities, which will determine cleanup goals. David noted Rocky Flats not part of this topic now since the effort is focused on EM sites. Lorraine is talking about broadening this effort to look at communities where there is an existing DOE presence and expertise, such as Rocky Flats and NREL. Lorraine also suggested that there may be some aid for our area from DOE regarding new energy jobs, as there is already legislation in process. Jeannette Hillery asked for a sense of group regarding these issues, and added that she thinks additional information is warranted. Roman Kohler suggested that these issues are covered in the work plan under item 5 on page 5. The group agreed.

Lori Cox brought up an issue relating to 'DOE Management' #12 and possible plans for a transportation corridor through Rocky Flats lands. David Abelson explained that it is clearly beyond the scope of this group to make regional transportation decisions. However, there are certain issues, such as relocation of monitoring stations and NEPA analysis that do fall under the Board's purview. For now, the Board has agreed to simply have staff track the process. Also, this discussion led to an idea for the Board to put together materials providing independent, objective information that members can use to address these issues in other arenas. Lori said that she thinks the current language implies that the Board will provide an opinion on whether to build the highway. Sue Vaughan said she agrees, and suggested adding another clarifying statement. David Allen said that in order to pre-empt misperceptions or concerns, he would like to see the Board put together a white paper that identifies the cleanup work and studies that have been done to date. Lorraine said she agrees with the suggestion. To address Lori and Sue's concern, David Abelson suggested changing the wording in the work plan to read 'identifying work that has been done during cleanup to answer questions'. Shirley said that this would be sending mixed messages about the cleanup, and said that perhaps the State should be providing this information. She said downstream communities will already be addressing storm water, runoff, erosion and related issues. Jeannette said that this group may be looked to for certain information, and that would be a reason to have something on the record. Bill Fisher said that in terms of general awareness and education, a white paper may seem a little formal. He agreed that tracking these transportation discussions is important. David Allen said that it is important to provide clarification that our involvement in tracking is tied to the ongoing monitoring in the DOE-held Central Operable Unit. Clark Johnson noted that the Stewardship Council is a group that can put out facts regarding cleanup in order to clear the way for having transportation-specific discussions in other venues. Steve Berendzen added that a decision about NEPA analysis regarding transfer of land is being discussed at Headquarters for a decision. There may be an opportunity for the Stewardship Council to weigh in on NEPA. Also, if the highway is not built, there will have to be a decision about how to use this land, and this scenario will be addressed during the transfer stage. Lisa Morzel said that plans have changed since the right-of-

way provision was discussed and added into the Rocky Flats Wildlife Refuge legislation. She thinks there should be a discussion by the Stewardship Council.

Jeannette asked the group if there should there be something in work plan regarding this issue. David Abelson said that there are two parts to this for the Stewardship Council. First is tracking the discussions with regard to monitoring of the COU. Second would be the white paper outlining cleanup that has been done. He said the Stewardship Council can tie its actions on this topic to DOE's responsibilities, as opposed to USFWS responsibilities. Lorraine said that it would be more appropriate to tie our analyses to impacts on the 300-foot right-of-way. Shari Paiz suggested that a white paper might put the group out there politically and could be used for or against a particular cause. For this reason, she would prefer that the Board plan to simply track the issues for now, and can jump in later if necessary. Meagan Davis said she agreed that tracking would be sufficient at this point. The group agreed to change the work plan to reflect tracking only. The new bullet will read 'Tracking development of the Jefferson County Parkway as it relates to Rocky Flats'.

Ron Hellbusch asked for Steve Berendzen's assessment of the work plan. Steve said it looks good, and wishes his agency was able to do more work on the Refuge. Ron asked at what point the Board change the word 'track' as it applies to Refuge funding to something more proactive. David Abelson said that individual members can become advocates for funding, but the Stewardship Council cannot use DOE funds to lobby for funding. Clark Johnson said he would like to see a little more focus on getting a funded Refuge in place. Lori Cox suggested that the governmental members do this individually during their March trips to Washington, D.C. Lorraine Anderson suggested removing 'as necessary' in the sentence about working with USFWS to implement the Rocky Flats CCP. David Abelson noted that voices from local governments are more powerful in making the case for Refuge funding than a single group. Ron said he would like to add the word 'funding' into #1. Lisa Morzel said that she did not see a problem with pushing for funding as a group. Jeannette suggested the wording 'advocate for funding'. Bob Briggs said it might work to use 'implementation and funding' for CCP and then leave the second bullet as is. Sue Vaughan pointed out that if we take 'as necessary' out of this section, we also need to take it out of the overview.

David Allen requested that the 'Business Operations' section be modified to add a #6 calling for an annual report highlighting key activities and accomplishments of the Stewardship Council. He also suggested that website issues fit better under the 'Outreach' section. Finally, he said that reviewing DOE budgets (#3 under 'DOE Management Responsibilities') is something that that Board does not do. David Abelson said he agreed on first two, however the staff handles #3 during updates to the Board.

Lorraine Anderson moved to approve the work plan as amended. Lisa Morzel stated a concern that moving bullets 9 & 10 regarding the Museum out of the 'DOE Management Responsibilities' section may deter DOE from supporting the Museum. She made a friendly amendment to replace these items in this section. Lisa Morzel seconded the motion. The motion was approved 10-0. After the vote, a member asked staff to re-read bullet #12 about the highway topic. There were no further questions.

Fiscal Year 2010 Budget Hearings

The Board reviewed the draft budget at the September meeting and no changes were offered. Barb Vander Wall explained that, as a unit of local government created through intergovernmental agreement, there is an annual requirement to develop a budget through a public process. As part of this process, the Board must open a public hearing and invite public comment, close the hearing, invite board members to speak, and then vote on a motion to adopt budget and appropriate funds.

Jeannette Hillery opened the public hearing. There were no comments. Jeannette then closed the hearing. Shari Paiz requested holding the scheduled Executive Session prior to voting on the budget. The Board agreed.

At 9:45 a.m. Lorraine Anderson made a motion to move into Executive Session for the purpose of discussing personnel issues, and to receive legal advice on such issues, as authorized under Sections 24-6-402(4)(b) and (f), C.R.S. Lisa Morzel seconded the motion. The motion passed 10-0.

The Board reconvened from Executive Session at 10:15 a.m. and affirmed that no actions had been taken during Executive Session.

Jeannette Hillery stepped outside the agenda momentarily to present Lorraine Anderson with a framed photo and letter of appreciation for her many years of service related to Rocky Flats issues. Lorraine spoke of her fond memories of working with this group and others to help shape a successful cleanup of Rocky Flats. Lisa Morzel also thanked Lorraine and commended her on her ability to work together even when there were disagreements on the issues.

Roman Kohler moved to adopt the 2010 budget and appropriate the funds. The motion was seconded by Lisa Morzel. The motion passed 10-0.

Lisa Morzel moved to approve the contract with Crescent Strategies and continue other staff agreements through 2010. The motion was seconded by Roman Kohler. The motion passed 10-0.

Update from the Rocky Flats Cold War Museum (RFCWM)

Since the Museum had not briefed the Stewardship Council for two years, Shirley Garcia was asked to present an update on the Museum's mission and activities.

The mission of the RFCWM is to document the historical, social, environmental and scientific legacy of Rocky Flats. The Museum Board's goal is to tell all sides of the complex history of the site. The Museum secured its nonprofit designation in 2001, and completed a feasibility/scoping study in 2003. Part of this study included plans for a 'technology trail' at sites along Highway 93. As Rocky Flats was torn down, volunteers saved key artifacts. To date, the Museum has received approximately \$300K in grants and donations. Part of their work has involved the creation of a website, logo, and display posters. The State Historic Fund awarded the group a

grant to conduct 90 oral histories. These were completed 2004-2007. Eight nearby cities, two counties and the Stewardship Council have passed resolutions of support of Museum. In 2006, activists invited to a teepee event and to donate artifacts. The Museum also publishes a newsletter called 'Weapons to Wildlife'.

The Rocky Flats Wildlife Refuge CCP identifies the Cold War Museum as a partner in interpreting the history of the site as ranchland and a nuclear weapons production facility. Former Senator Allard helped secure a \$492K Congressional appropriation for the Museum in December 2007. To store the artifacts it has collected, the Museum Board secured a warehouse in Arvada with a meeting room in 2009. Thousands of artifacts are in storage currently, approximately 20% of which has been identified and entered into a database. Volunteers helped transfer artifacts into storage, and Charlie McKay donated equipment and staff as part of this effort.

The Museum is developing an exhibit for the Arvada Center that they are hoping to have ready for the 60th anniversary of Rocky Flats in 2011. Five themes under development for this exhibit include: 1) What is Rocky Flats and what did it do? 2) Science and technology at Rocky Flats, 3) Cleanup of Rocky Flats site (1995-2006) and evolution into NWR. 4) Resistance to Rocky Flats, 5) Development of the Museum/Visitors Center.

Museum consultants have been hired to develop the exhibit. They are also working to describe and archive the thousands of artifacts into the Museum database. Oral histories are also being incorporated into the exhibit design. A key goal is to ensure fairness as information is being developed into text and wall panels.

Shirley discussed why it is important to preserve the history of Rocky Flats. She pointed out that the impacts of the site continue today. Rocky Flats produced the core of every nuclear weapon in the U.S. arsenal in missiles and submarines situated around the world. No other museum in Colorado documents Rocky Flats.

Partners and supporters of the Museum include DOE-LM, the Rocky Flats Homesteaders, USFWS, Friends of the Wildlife Refuges, Boulder Public Library Maria Rogers Oral History Program, Rocky Flats Stewardship Council, and Energy Communities Alliance.

Shirley pointed out several ways interested parties can get involved, which include signing up for the newsletter, volunteering for a committee, contributing artifacts, making presentations to school and community groups, becoming a board member, and checking out the website at www.rockyflatsmuseum.org.

Lorraine Anderson suggested that the Museum coordinate with LANL, Hanford, Oak Ridge museum efforts. She thought it would be a good idea to coordinate activities so visitors could go to all the sites. She also referenced a woman who heads an atomic energy history group. Lisa Morzel pointed out an existing bicycle corridor and noted there may be some opportunities to couple with the technology trail sites.

Host DOE Quarterly Meeting

DOE next briefed the Stewardship Council on site activities for the second quarter of 2009 (April – June). Activities included surface water monitoring, groundwater monitoring, ecological monitoring, and site operations. DOE has posted the report on its website.

Surface Water Monitoring

George Squibb noted surface water at GS10 did not exceed the standards. The reason is that there was quite a bit of snow during the quarter. Pond levels averaged approximately 22.4% of capacity. There was almost 8 inches of precipitation, which was 144 percent of the average. Correspondingly, flow rates were fairly high. There were a number of transfers and discharges during the quarter.

Water quality at all points of evaluation was below applicable standards. As of the end of April, the 12-month rolling average for total uranium at GS10 is no longer reportable. Precipitation events in second quarter 2009 increased runoff from the GS10 drainage area. A decrease in total uranium to below the reportable level was subsequently observed. This bolsters the conclusion that the reportable uranium levels at GS10 are the result of groundwater base flow (contaminated with predominantly natural uranium) making up a higher proportion of stream flow due to the reduced runoff after closure. George said he would expect a return of exceedances after the runoff subsides.

Surface water quality results at the Original Landfill during second quarter 2009 showed acceptable water quality.

Surface water quality results at the Present Landfill (PLF) triggered monthly sampling for vinyl chloride, selenium, and silver. These analytes were not detected in the first monthly sample. Therefore, monthly sampling was discontinued. Jeannette Hillery asked about potential problems with selenium. George said that there are problems off and on, but that there is nothing sustained over the standard. Faye Griffin asked about how quickly the monitoring results are turned around. George explained the process with the lab, verification of results, and reporting any exceedances. He said that the site is constantly monitoring and reviewing data.

Groundwater Monitoring and Operations

John Boylan noted that the second quarter is a heavy sampling quarter with the following wells being monitored: all AOC wells and surface water support locations; all sentinel wells; all RCRA wells; all boundary wells; and all treatment system locations. No new reportable conditions were observed and the results will be evaluated in the 2009 annual report.

At the East Trenches Plume Treatment System (ETPTS), the media replacement and system upgrades project continued. This project began in September, and is scheduled to be complete by the end of October. The need for media replacement was signaled by reduced media permeability, increasing VOC concentrations in the system effluent. The site revised the media design to address permeability issues and improve contact with and effectiveness of media. The project also involves installing a new plumbing vault to simplify changes to flow configuration

and improving the plumbing design to reduce the potential for pipe clogging and simplify typical maintenance needs. Finally, the project will involve upgrading flow monitoring.

John next discussed the results of a geotechnical investigation of Phase II and Phase III areas at the Solar Ponds treatment area (SPPTS). The investigation showed that the probable cause of the water storage sump settling was inadequately compacted fill underlying sump. They were unable to determine which fill was the cause. A 72-inch drain was removed in this area at closure. Regrading and North Walnut Creek relocation occurred in the late 1970s, and other local regrading and backfilling has also been conducted (for instance, construction of SPPTS in 1999). The recommended path forward recognizes that no structural stabilization is needed immediately, although helical piers are recommended for the long term. The site will focus on selected components, based on their anticipated use in Phase IV. Sue Vaughan asked if they were able to determine why there is more uranium now. John said that they are currently performing tests and trying to figure it out. Shelley Stanley asked if the site is going to pursue extending the temporary modifications for uranium. John said they are not.

Water Quality Control Commission (WQCC)

Rick DiSalvo discussed the June 2009 Triennial Review of the South Platte River Basin, where the WQCC revised the current arsenic standard (50 µg/L) to conform with the new statewide water supply standard, effective January 1, 2010. The new standard will be 0.02 to 10 µg/L (the low being the WQCC risk-based water consumption and the high being EPA's maximum contaminant level [MCL] for drinking water supply). Water below the MCL (based on 85th percentile of data) is considered in attainment with standard. Rocky Flats is in attainment with new standard.

The WQCC also changed the segment 4b recreation use classification from N (no recreation use) to P (potential recreation use) based on the establishment of the Refuge outside of Central Operable Unit (COU), effective January 1, 2010. The E.coli standard will change from 630/100 ml to 205/100 ml. Segment 5 retains N classification. A portion of segment 4b now inside COU (from A-4 and B-5 terminal ponds to COU west boundary) will become segment 5. Also, a portion of segment 5 outside COU (North Walnut Creek west of COU boundary) will become segment 4b.

DOE did not propose any extension/changes to the expiring Temporary Modifications (TMs). Current Rocky Flats TMs (six VOCs, nitrate/nitrite) expire December 31, 2009. Changes will be incorporated in RFLMA Attachment 2, Table 1, Surface Water Standards, as part of the planned Attachment 2 modification.

Annual Site Operations

At the Original Landfill (OLF), monthly inspections were performed throughout the quarter and a vegetation inspection was completed in May. Seep 4 had some surface expression, but did not show any surface flow. This is likely due to the rock drain that was installed during the West Perimeter Channel Regrade Project. Seep 8 flowed at a rate of 2 to 3 gpm throughout the second quarter. The West Perimeter Channel flowed at a rate of 2 to 5 gpm throughout the second quarter. The higher rates were noted following precipitation events. Seep 7 showed surface

expression along the eastern edge of the drain extension installed in September 2008 and from the south edge of the concrete pad for inclinometer 82508I

As part of the OLF geotechnical investigation, an extension for the original Seep 7 subsurface drain was installed in the OLF cover soil in September 2008. There was no surface expression in the Seep 7 area until after the precipitation events throughout second quarter CY 2009. Seep 7 showed surface flow during all three monthly inspections. Flow was observed along the approximate location of the eastern edge of the drain extension and south edge of casing for inclinometer 82508I. Potholing was performed on May 14 to evaluate the eastern edge of the drain and connection to the existing drain to determine whether water was flowing within the drain. The site may do one or more additional potholing projects on that edge and install more replacement fabric.

The geotextile fabric wrap was not sufficiently porous to allow water to seep into the drain fast enough to carry the water away. Seepage was surfacing in the vicinity of the eastern edge instead. Water was freely flowing inside the drain extension and carrying water that enters the drain in the subsurface. A small section of the geotextile wrapping edge was replaced with more porous permanent erosion matting, which immediately resulted in the water entering the drain and the surface area quickly drying up at this location. Additional sections along the edge were adjusted in similar manner to allow water to enter the drain.

Settlement monuments were surveyed on June 23 and data were within the expected range per the OLF Monitoring and Maintenance Plan. Inclinometers were measured in April, and twice each in May and June. Results showed higher deflection for several inclinometers, most noticeable for the three inclinometers on the west side of the OLF, between diversion Berms 1 and 3, where deflection was approximately 1.5 to 2.25 inches.

Seven inclinometers were installed in boreholes in 2008 during OLF geotechnical investigation. These inclinometers deflect with lateral ground movement. Movement of the inclinometers has been monitored approximately monthly since installation.

Deflection occurred after a period of heavy precipitation events beginning in April 2009. It appears consistent with the findings from the geotechnical investigation that the organic layer near the bedrock creates a weak zone for the overlying soil. When lubricated by subsurface moisture from precipitation events, localized movement of the surface soil may occur. A qualified geotechnical engineer is evaluating further inclinometer data.

Areas where the landfill cover is pushed up or rolling are noticeable on the western end of the OLF between Berms 2 and 3. However, the areas do not have any surface cracks at this time. A new crack in Berm 1 was observed on April 4, 2009. The crack extended for a short distance through diversion Berm 1 to the north side of the berm and then back to the south side of the berm. These cracks were in the same general location of large cracks that appeared in 2006 and 2007. The site completed the West Perimeter Channel regrading and channel drain in 2008 to improve stability. The slump is not as severe as what was noted in 2007.

The site will continue maintenance actions to fill cracking in order to minimize infiltration of precipitation. The main crack in Berm 1 was observed again on June 20. Site staff again repaired the crack with hand tools and the ATV bucket. Subsequent non-routine inspections have shown no new movement in the area where the crack was repaired

At the Present Landfill (PLF), the quarterly inspection was completed in May, and the vegetation inspection was completed in May. No areas of concern were observed.

A special, non-routine inspection of the OLF, PLF, and site following a precipitation event exceeding 3 inches was completed on April 20, as required by RFLMA. The center of the OLF between Berms 1 and 2 and 4 and 5 showed a small amount of erosion from surface flow following the precipitation event. The area was regraded and seeded by site staff, and erosion matting and wattles were installed to help prevent future erosion problems in the area. Another special inspection of the OLF, PLF, and site following a precipitation event of 1.1 inches was completed on March 30. No problems were observed.

David Allen asked if there is any estimate on how much movement would occur before inclinometers fail. Rick said this would depend on how deep they are. There was a question about the date for the special investigation in the landfill report. Rick will check on this. Linda Kaiser pointed out that the quarterly inspection dates happened to fall at the same time as the special inspections. There were two different precipitation events; however DOE will double check the dates in the report.

Shelly Stanley asked if the geotechnical consultant would be making recommendations on remedies. Rick said the site will consult with the State and EPA. Shirley Garcia asked about proposed changes regarding Preble's mouse rules and public opportunities to provide information. Steve Berendzen said he has some concerns, as the plan did not clearly identify where the boundary would stop.

Public comment

There was none.

Updates/Big Picture Review

February 1, 2010

Potential Business Items

- Elect 2010 Officers
- Adopt resolution re: 2010 meeting dates

Potential Briefing Items

- Host LM quarterly public meeting
- Approve Washington, D.C. talking points
- Continue discussing interpretative signs for Rocky Flats
- DOE budget briefing

April 5, 2010

Potential Briefing Items

- Host LM quarterly public meeting
- Continue discussing interpretative signs for Rocky Flats
- Update on NRD projects by RFSC members and CDPHE
- Briefing on groundwater plumes and treatment systems

David Allen asked when the Board will vote on appointments for the non-governmental members in 2010. David Abelson said that he would follow up on this schedule and send out information.

The meeting was adjourned at 12:07 p.m.

Respectfully submitted by Erin Rogers.